BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

))

)

)

)

)

)

)

IN T	HE	MA	.TT	ER	OF	:
------	----	----	-----	----	----	---

PROPOSED NEW CLEAN AIR INTERSTATE RULES (CAIR) SO₂, NO_x ANNUAL AND NO_x OZONE SEASON TRADING PROGRAMS, 35 ILL. ADM. CODE 225, SUBPARTS A, C, D AND E R06-26 (Rulemaking – Air)

NOTICE OF FILING

To:

Dorothy Gunn, Clerk Illinois Pollution Control Board James R. Thompson Center Suite 11-500 100 West Randolph Chicago, Illinois 60601 Persons included on the **ATTACHED SERVICE LIST**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that we have today filed with the Office of the Clerk of the Pollution Control Board the MOTION TO AMEND PROPOSED RULE TO CORRECT TYPOGRAPHICAL ERRORS, a copy of which is herewith served upon you.

<u>/s/ Karl A. Karg</u> Karl A. Karg

Dated: February 16, 2007

Karg A. Karg Cary R. Perlman Andrea M. Hogan LATHAM & WATKINS LLP Sears Tower, Suite 5800 233 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 Telephone: (312) 876-7691 Fax: (312) 993-9767 karl.karg@lw.com

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

)

)

)

)

)

)

IN THE MATTER OF: PROPOSED NEW CAIR SO₂, CAIR NO_X ANNUAL AND CAIR NO_X OZONE SEASON TRADING PROGRAMS, 35 ILL. ADM. CODE 225, CONTROL OF EMISSIONS FROM LARGE COMBUSTION SOURCES SUBPARTS A, C, D and E

R06-26 (Rulemaking – Air)

MOTION TO AMEND PROPOSED RULE TO CORRECT TYPOGRAPHICAL ERRORS

NOW COME Midwest Generation EME, LLC ("MWGen") and the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency ("Illinois EPA"), by and through their respective attorneys, pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 101.500, and state as follows:

1. On January 5, 2007, and as revised in a filing of January 10, 2007, the Illinois EPA and MWGen submitted to the Illinois Pollution Control Board ("PCB") the proposed Subpart F to the proposed 35 Ill. Adm. Code 225, New CAIR SO₂, CAIR NO_X Annual and CAIR NO_X Ozone Season Trading Programs, Control of Emissions from Large Combustion Sources, Subparts A, C, D and E.

2. Subsequent to that filing, Illinois EPA and MWGen discovered that two inadvertent typographical errors are contained in the proposed Subpart F.

3. At Section 225.615(g)(3)(D), the end of that paragraph should read "...applicable requirements ' \underline{f} or' particulate matter or opacity" rather than "...applicable requirements 'or' particulate matter or opacity."

4. At Section 225.625(a)(3), the paragraph should be changed to reflect a Control Technology Control deadline of December 31, '201<u>5</u>' rather than the year '2013.' The deadline of December 31, 2015 was previously agreed to by Illinois EPA and MWGen, as embodied in

1

the December 10, 2006 Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU") between Illinois EPA and MWGen.

5. The proposed changes will render the proposed rule consistent with the MOU as agreed to by MWGen and the Illinois EPA.

6. The proposed changes will avoid confusion in submitting an incorrect draft Subpart F for First Notice.

7. The proposed changes will not result in undue hardship or result in prejudice to any party to this rulemaking.

8. Illinois EPA and MWGen have included a revised copy of the Proposed Subpart F with this Motion. The only changes made to the revised Subpart F attached hereto from the January 10, 2007 filing are those described in Paragraphs 3 and 4 of this Motion.

WHEREFORE, Illinois EPA and MWGen request that the Board amend the proposed Subpart F to reflect the corrections noted above and that the Board replace the originally filed Subpart F with the attached corrected version.

Dated: February 16, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,

MIDWEST GENERATION EME LLC

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

By: <u>/s/ Karl A. Karg</u> Karl A. Karg

Karl A. Karg Cary R. Perlman Andrea Hogan Attorneys for Petitioners Latham & Watkins, LLP 233 South Wacker Drive 5800 Sears Tower Chicago, Illinois 60606 By: /s/ John J. Kim John J. Kim

John J. Kim, Managing Attorney Charles E. Matoesian, Assistant Counsel Gina Roccaforte, Assistant Counsel Division of Legal Counsel Illinois Environmental Protection Agency 1021 North Grand Avenue East Post Office Box 19276 Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276

2

1		
1 2		SUBPART F: COMBINED POLLUTANT STANDARDS
23		SUDPART F: COMDINED FOLLUTANT STANDARDS
4	Section 225.60	00 Purpose
5	Section 225.00	o rupose
6	The nurnose of	f this Subpart F is to allow an alternate means of compliance with the emissions
7		nercury in Section 225.230(a) for Specified EGUs through permanent shut-down,
8		ACI, and the application of pollution control technology for NO_x , PM, and SO_2
9		also reduce mercury emissions as a co-benefit and to establish permanent
10		dards for those Specified EGUs. Unless otherwise provided for in this Subpart F,
11		erators of those Specified EGUs are not excused from compliance with other
12	-	uirements of Subparts B, C, D, and E.
13		······································
14	Section 225.60	05 Applicability
15		
16	a)	As an alternative to compliance with the emissions standards of Section
17		225.230(a), the owner or operator of specified EGUs in this Subpart F located at
18		Fisk, Crawford, Joliet, Powerton, Waukegan, and Will County power plants may
19		elect for all of those EGUs as a group to demonstrate compliance pursuant to this
20		Subpart F, which establishes control requirements and emissions standards for
21		NO _x , PM, SO ₂ , and mercury. For this purpose, ownership of a Specified EGU is
22		determined based on direct ownership, by holding a majority interest in a
23		company that owns the EGU or EGUs, or by the common ownership of the
24		company that owns the EGU, whether through a parent-subsidiary relationship, as
25		a sister corporation, or as an affiliated corporation with the same parent
26		corporation, provided that the owner or operator has the right or authority to
27		submit a CAAPP application on behalf of the EGU.
28	1 \	
29 30	b)	A Specified EGU is a coal-fired EGU listed in Appendix A, irrespective of any
30 31		subsequent changes in ownership of the EGU or power plant, changes in the operator, unit designation, or name of unit.
32		operator, unit designation, or name of unit.
33	c)	The owner or operator of each of the Specified EGUs electing to demonstrate
34	0)	compliance with Section 225.230(a) pursuant to this Subpart must submit an
35		application for a CAAPP permit modification to the Agency, as provided for in
36		Section 225.220, that includes the information specified in Section 225.610 that
37		clearly states the owner's or operator's election to demonstrate compliance with
38		Section 225.230(a) pursuant to this Subpart F.
39		
40	d)	If an owner or operator of one or more Specified EGUs elects to demonstrate
41	,	compliance with Section 225.230(a) pursuant to this Subpart F, then all Specified
42		EGUs owned or operated in Illinois by the owner or operator as of December 31,
43		2006, as defined in subsection (a) of this Section, are thereafter subject to the
44		standards and control requirements of this Subpart F. Such EGUs are referred to
45		as a Combined Pollutant Standard ("CPS") group.
46		

47 48 49 50	e)	apply to all	is subject to the requirements of this Section, then the requirements owners and operators of the EGU, and to the CAIR designated ve for the EGU.
50 51 52	Section 225.6	10 Not	ice of Intent
53	The owner or	operator of c	one or more Specified EGUs that intends to comply with Section
54			is Subpart F must notify the Agency of its intention on or before
55			following information must accompany the notification:
56		,	
57	a)	The identifi	cation of each EGU that will be complying with Section 225.230(a)
58	,		this Subpart F, with evidence that the owner or operator has identified
59		-	d EGUs that it owned or operated in Illinois as of December 31, 2006,
60			commenced commercial operation on or before December 31, 2004;
61			1
62	b)	If an EGU i	dentified in subsection (a) of this Section is also owned or operated by
63		a person dif	ferent than the owner or operator submitting the notice of intent, a
64			on that the submitter has the right to commit the EGU or authorization
65		from the res	sponsible official for the EGU submitting the application; and
66			
67	c)	-	of the current control devices installed and operating on each EGU
68			cation of the additional control devices that will likely be needed for
69		each EGU t	o comply with emission control requirements of this Subpart F.
70	~		
71	Section 225.6	15 Con	trol Technology Requirements and Emissions Standards for Mercury
72	``	G (177	
73 74	a)	Control Tec	chnology Requirements for Mercury.
74 75		1)	
75 76		-	each EGU in a CPS group other than an EGU that is addressed by
77			section (b) of this Section, the owner or operator of the EGU must
78			all, if not already installed, and properly operate and maintain, by the s set forth in subsection (a)(2) of this Section, ACI equipment
78 79			plying with subsections (g), (h), (i), (j), and (k) of this Section, as
80			icable.
81		uppi	
82		2) By t	he following dates, for the EGUs listed below, which include hot and
83			side ESPs, the owner or operator must install, if not already installed,
84			n operating ACI equipment or the Agency must be given written
85		-	ce that the EGU will be shutdown on or before the dates below:
86			
87		A)	Fisk 19, Crawford 7, Crawford 8, Waukegan 7, and Waukegan 8
88		,	on or before July 1, 2008; and
89			
90		B)	Powerton 5, , Powerton 6, , Will County 3, Will County 4, Joliet 6,
91			Joliet 7, and Joliet 8 on or before July 1, 2009.
92			

93 94 95 96	b)	Notwithstanding subsection (a) of this Section, the following EGUs are not required to install ACI equipment because they will be permanently shut-down, as addressed by Section 225.630, by the date specified:
97 98		1) EGUs that are required to permanently shut-down:
99		A) On or before December 31, 2007, Waukegan 6; and
100		$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{r}} I$
101 102		B) On or before December 31, 2010, Will County 1 and Will County
102		2.
105		2) Any other Specified ECU that is norman anthy shut down by December 21
104		2) Any other Specified EGU that is permanently shut down by December 31, 2010.
105		2010.
100	c)	Beginning on January 1, 2015, and continuing thereafter, and measured on a
107	0)	rolling 12-month basis (the initial period is January 1, 2015, through December
108		31, 2015, and, then, for every 12-month period thereafter), each Specified EGU,
110		except Will County 3, shall achieve one of the following emissions standards:
111		except will county 5, shall achieve one of the following emissions standards.
112		1) An emissions standard of 0.0080 lbs mercury/GWh gross electrical output;
112		or
113		01
114		2) A minimum 90 percent reduction of input mercury.
115		2) A minimum 90 percent reduction of input mercury.
117	d)	Beginning on January 1, 2016, and continuing thereafter, Will County 3 shall
118	u)	achieve the mercury emissions standards of subsection (c) of this Section
119		measured on a rolling 12-month basis (the initial period is January 1, 2016,
120		through December 31, 2016, and, then, for every 12-month period thereafter).
120		unough December 31, 2010, and, men, for every 12-month period increation).
121	e)	At any time prior to the dates required for compliance in subsections (c) and (d)
123	0)	of this Section, the owner or operator of a Specified EGU, upon notice to the
124		Agency, may elect to comply with the emissions standards of subsection (c) of
125		this Section measured on a rolling 12-month basis for one or more EGUs. Once
126		an EGU is subject to the mercury emissions standards of subsection (c) of this
127		Section, it shall not be subject to the requirements of subsections (g), (h), (i), (j)
128		and (k) of this Section.
129		
130	f)	Compliance with the mercury emissions standards or reduction requirement of
131	-/	this Section must be calculated in accordance with Section 225.230(a) or (b).
132		
132	g)	For each EGU for which injection of halogenated activated carbon is required by
134	8/	subsection (a)(1) of this Section, the owner or operator of the EGU must inject
135		halogenated activated carbon in an optimum manner, which, except as provided in
136		subsection (h) of this Section, is defined as all of the following:
137		

138 139		1)		e of an injection system for effective absorption of mercury, ering the configuration of the EGU and its ductwork;
140				
141		2)	-	jection of halogenated activated carbon manufactured by Alstom,
142			Norit,	or Sorbent Technologies, or the injection of any other halogenated
143			activat	ed carbon or sorbent that the owner or operator of the EGU has
144			demon	strated to have similar or better effectiveness for control of mercury
145			emissi	ons; and
146				
147		3)	The in	jection of sorbent at the following minimum rates, as applicable:
148		r.	-	
149			A)	For an EGU firing subbituminous coal, 5.0 lbs per million actual
150			,	cubic feet or, for any cyclone-fired EGU that will install a scrubber
151				and baghouse by December 31, 2012, and which already meets an
152				emission rate of 0.020 lb mercury/GWh gross electrical output or
153				at least 75 percent reduction of input mercury, 2.5 lbs million
154				actual cubic feet;
155			۲	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
156			B)	For an EGU firing bituminous coal, 10.0 lbs per million actual
157			_,	cubic feet or, for any cyclone-fired EGU that will install a scrubber
158				and baghouse by December 31, 2012, and which already meets an
159				emission rate of 0.020 lb mercury/GWh gross electrical output or
160				at least 75 percent reduction of input mercury, 5.0 lbs million
161				actual cubic feet;
162				
162			C)	For an EGU firing a blend of subbituminous and bituminous coal,
164			0)	a rate that is the weighted average of the above rates, based on the
165				blend of coal being fired; or
165				blend of coal being filed, of
167			D)	A rate or rates set lower by the Agency, in writing, than the rate
167			D)	specified in any of subsections $(g)(3)(A)$, $(g)(3)(B)$, or $(g)(3)(C)$ of
169				this Section on a unit-specific basis, provided that the owner or
170				operator of the EGU has demonstrated that such rate or rates are
170				*
171				needed so that carbon injection will not increase particulate matter
172				emissions or opacity so as to threaten noncompliance with
173				applicable requirements or for particulate matter or opacity.
174		4)	Formu	magon of submotion $(x)(2)$ of this Section the flue and flow rate
		4)	-	rposes of subsection $(g)(3)$ of this Section, the flue gas flow rate
176				e determined for the point sorbent injection; provided that this flow
177				ay be assumed to be identical to the stack flow rate if the gas
178			-	ratures at the point of injection and the stack are normally within
179				, or the flue gas flow rate may otherwise be calculated from the
180			stack f	low rate, corrected for the difference in gas temperatures.
181	1. \	1		Exercise POIL days 1 de la POIL days de la Constant
182	h)			operator of an EGU that seeks to operate an EGU with an activated
183		carbor	i injectio	on rate or rates that are set on a unit-specific basis pursuant to

184		subse	ction (g)(3)(D) of this Section must submit an application to the Agency
185		propo	sing such rate or rates, and must meet the requirements of subsections (h)(1)
186		and (h	n)(2) of this Section, subject to the limitations of subsections (h)(3) and
187		•	of this Section:
188		()(·)	
189		1)	The application must be submitted as an application for a new or revised
		1)	
190			federally enforceable operation permit for the EGU, and it must include a
191			summary of relevant mercury emissions data for the EGU, the unit-
192			specific injection rate or rates that are proposed, and detailed information
193			to support the proposed injection rate or rates; and
194			
195		2)	This application must be submitted no later than the date that activated
196			carbon must first be injected. For example, the owner or operator of an
197			EGU that must inject activated carbon pursuant to subsection (a)(1) of this
198			Section must apply for unit-specific injection rate or rates by July 1, 2008.
199			Thereafter, the owner or operator may supplement its application; and
200			indication, the owner of operator may suppression to upprovident, and
200		3)	Any decision of the Agency denying a permit or granting a permit with
202		5)	conditions that set a lower inject rate or rates may be appealed to the
203			Board pursuant to Section 39 of the Act.
204			
205		4)	The owner or operator of an EGU may operate at the injection rate or rates
206			proposed in its application until a final decision is made on the application
207			
			including a final decision on any appeal to the Board.
208			including a final decision on any appeal to the Board.
	i)	Durin	including a final decision on any appeal to the Board. Ig any evaluation of the effectiveness of a listed sorbent, alternative sorbent,
208	i)		
208 209	i)	or oth	ag any evaluation of the effectiveness of a listed sorbent, alternative sorbent, her technique to control mercury emissions, the owner or operator of an EGU
208 209 210 211	i)	or oth need	ag any evaluation of the effectiveness of a listed sorbent, alternative sorbent, her technique to control mercury emissions, the owner or operator of an EGU not comply with the requirements of subsection (g) of this Section for any
208 209 210 211 212	i)	or oth need	ag any evaluation of the effectiveness of a listed sorbent, alternative sorbent, her technique to control mercury emissions, the owner or operator of an EGU
208 209 210 211 212 213	i)	or oth need i syster	ag any evaluation of the effectiveness of a listed sorbent, alternative sorbent, her technique to control mercury emissions, the owner or operator of an EGU not comply with the requirements of subsection (g) of this Section for any m needed to carry out the evaluation, as further provided as follows:
208 209 210 211 212 213 214	i)	or oth need	ag any evaluation of the effectiveness of a listed sorbent, alternative sorbent, her technique to control mercury emissions, the owner or operator of an EGU not comply with the requirements of subsection (g) of this Section for any m needed to carry out the evaluation, as further provided as follows: The owner or operator of the EGU must conduct the evaluation in
208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215	i)	or oth need i syster	ag any evaluation of the effectiveness of a listed sorbent, alternative sorbent, her technique to control mercury emissions, the owner or operator of an EGU not comply with the requirements of subsection (g) of this Section for any m needed to carry out the evaluation, as further provided as follows: The owner or operator of the EGU must conduct the evaluation in accordance with a formal evaluation program submitted to the Agency at
208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216	i)	or oth need i syster	ag any evaluation of the effectiveness of a listed sorbent, alternative sorbent, her technique to control mercury emissions, the owner or operator of an EGU not comply with the requirements of subsection (g) of this Section for any m needed to carry out the evaluation, as further provided as follows: The owner or operator of the EGU must conduct the evaluation in
208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217	i)	or oth need i syster 1)	and any evaluation of the effectiveness of a listed sorbent, alternative sorbent, her technique to control mercury emissions, the owner or operator of an EGU not comply with the requirements of subsection (g) of this Section for any m needed to carry out the evaluation, as further provided as follows: The owner or operator of the EGU must conduct the evaluation in accordance with a formal evaluation program submitted to the Agency at least 30 days prior to commencement of the evaluation;
208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218	i)	or oth need i syster	and any evaluation of the effectiveness of a listed sorbent, alternative sorbent, her technique to control mercury emissions, the owner or operator of an EGU not comply with the requirements of subsection (g) of this Section for any m needed to carry out the evaluation, as further provided as follows: The owner or operator of the EGU must conduct the evaluation in accordance with a formal evaluation program submitted to the Agency at least 30 days prior to commencement of the evaluation; The duration and scope of the evaluation may not exceed the duration and
208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219	i)	or oth need i syster 1)	 any evaluation of the effectiveness of a listed sorbent, alternative sorbent, her technique to control mercury emissions, the owner or operator of an EGU not comply with the requirements of subsection (g) of this Section for any m needed to carry out the evaluation, as further provided as follows: The owner or operator of the EGU must conduct the evaluation in accordance with a formal evaluation program submitted to the Agency at least 30 days prior to commencement of the evaluation; The duration and scope of the evaluation may not exceed the duration and scope reasonably needed to complete the desired evaluation of the
208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220	i)	or oth need i syster 1)	 any evaluation of the effectiveness of a listed sorbent, alternative sorbent, her technique to control mercury emissions, the owner or operator of an EGU not comply with the requirements of subsection (g) of this Section for any m needed to carry out the evaluation, as further provided as follows: The owner or operator of the EGU must conduct the evaluation in accordance with a formal evaluation program submitted to the Agency at least 30 days prior to commencement of the evaluation; The duration and scope of the evaluation may not exceed the duration and scope reasonably needed to complete the desired evaluation of the alternative control techniques, as initially addressed by the owner or
208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221	i)	or oth need i syster 1)	 any evaluation of the effectiveness of a listed sorbent, alternative sorbent, her technique to control mercury emissions, the owner or operator of an EGU not comply with the requirements of subsection (g) of this Section for any m needed to carry out the evaluation, as further provided as follows: The owner or operator of the EGU must conduct the evaluation in accordance with a formal evaluation program submitted to the Agency at least 30 days prior to commencement of the evaluation; The duration and scope of the evaluation may not exceed the duration and scope reasonably needed to complete the desired evaluation of the alternative control techniques, as initially addressed by the owner or operator in a support document submitted with the evaluation program;
208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222	i)	or oth need i syster 1)	 any evaluation of the effectiveness of a listed sorbent, alternative sorbent, her technique to control mercury emissions, the owner or operator of an EGU not comply with the requirements of subsection (g) of this Section for any m needed to carry out the evaluation, as further provided as follows: The owner or operator of the EGU must conduct the evaluation in accordance with a formal evaluation program submitted to the Agency at least 30 days prior to commencement of the evaluation; The duration and scope of the evaluation may not exceed the duration and scope reasonably needed to complete the desired evaluation of the alternative control techniques, as initially addressed by the owner or
208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223	i)	or oth need i system 1) 2)	any evaluation of the effectiveness of a listed sorbent, alternative sorbent, her technique to control mercury emissions, the owner or operator of an EGU not comply with the requirements of subsection (g) of this Section for any m needed to carry out the evaluation, as further provided as follows: The owner or operator of the EGU must conduct the evaluation in accordance with a formal evaluation program submitted to the Agency at least 30 days prior to commencement of the evaluation; The duration and scope of the evaluation may not exceed the duration and scope reasonably needed to complete the desired evaluation of the alternative control techniques, as initially addressed by the owner or operator in a support document submitted with the evaluation program; and
208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 220 221 222 223 224	i)	or oth need i syster 1)	 any evaluation of the effectiveness of a listed sorbent, alternative sorbent, her technique to control mercury emissions, the owner or operator of an EGU not comply with the requirements of subsection (g) of this Section for any m needed to carry out the evaluation, as further provided as follows: The owner or operator of the EGU must conduct the evaluation in accordance with a formal evaluation program submitted to the Agency at least 30 days prior to commencement of the evaluation; The duration and scope of the evaluation may not exceed the duration and scope reasonably needed to complete the desired evaluation of the alternative control techniques, as initially addressed by the owner or operator in a support document submitted with the evaluation program; and The owner or operator of the EGU must submit a report to the Agency no
208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223	i)	or oth need i system 1) 2)	any evaluation of the effectiveness of a listed sorbent, alternative sorbent, her technique to control mercury emissions, the owner or operator of an EGU not comply with the requirements of subsection (g) of this Section for any m needed to carry out the evaluation, as further provided as follows: The owner or operator of the EGU must conduct the evaluation in accordance with a formal evaluation program submitted to the Agency at least 30 days prior to commencement of the evaluation; The duration and scope of the evaluation may not exceed the duration and scope reasonably needed to complete the desired evaluation of the alternative control techniques, as initially addressed by the owner or operator in a support document submitted with the evaluation program; and
208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 220 221 222 223 224	i)	or oth need i system 1) 2)	 any evaluation of the effectiveness of a listed sorbent, alternative sorbent, her technique to control mercury emissions, the owner or operator of an EGU not comply with the requirements of subsection (g) of this Section for any m needed to carry out the evaluation, as further provided as follows: The owner or operator of the EGU must conduct the evaluation in accordance with a formal evaluation program submitted to the Agency at least 30 days prior to commencement of the evaluation; The duration and scope of the evaluation may not exceed the duration and scope reasonably needed to complete the desired evaluation of the alternative control techniques, as initially addressed by the owner or operator in a support document submitted with the evaluation program; and The owner or operator of the EGU must submit a report to the Agency no
208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223 224 225	i)	or oth need i system 1) 2)	 any evaluation of the effectiveness of a listed sorbent, alternative sorbent, her technique to control mercury emissions, the owner or operator of an EGU not comply with the requirements of subsection (g) of this Section for any meeded to carry out the evaluation, as further provided as follows: The owner or operator of the EGU must conduct the evaluation in accordance with a formal evaluation program submitted to the Agency at least 30 days prior to commencement of the evaluation; The duration and scope of the evaluation may not exceed the duration and scope reasonably needed to complete the desired evaluation of the alternative control techniques, as initially addressed by the owner or operator in a support document submitted with the evaluation program; and The owner or operator of the EGU must submit a report to the Agency no later 30 days after the conclusion of the evaluation that describes the
208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223 224 225 226	i)	or oth need is system 1) 2) 3)	 any evaluation of the effectiveness of a listed sorbent, alternative sorbent, her technique to control mercury emissions, the owner or operator of an EGU not comply with the requirements of subsection (g) of this Section for any m needed to carry out the evaluation, as further provided as follows: The owner or operator of the EGU must conduct the evaluation in accordance with a formal evaluation program submitted to the Agency at least 30 days prior to commencement of the evaluation; The duration and scope of the evaluation may not exceed the duration and scope reasonably needed to complete the desired evaluation of the alternative control techniques, as initially addressed by the owner or operator in a support document submitted with the evaluation program; and The owner or operator of the EGU must submit a report to the Agency no later 30 days after the conclusion of the evaluation that describes the evaluation conducted and which provides the results of the evaluation; and
208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227	i)	or oth need i system 1) 2)	 any evaluation of the effectiveness of a listed sorbent, alternative sorbent, her technique to control mercury emissions, the owner or operator of an EGU not comply with the requirements of subsection (g) of this Section for any meeded to carry out the evaluation, as further provided as follows: The owner or operator of the EGU must conduct the evaluation in accordance with a formal evaluation program submitted to the Agency at least 30 days prior to commencement of the evaluation; The duration and scope of the evaluation may not exceed the duration and scope reasonably needed to complete the desired evaluation of the alternative control techniques, as initially addressed by the owner or operator in a support document submitted with the evaluation program; and The owner or operator of the EGU must submit a report to the Agency no later 30 days after the conclusion of the evaluation that describes the

230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242			principal control techniques, the owner or operator of the EGU must resume use of the principal control techniques. If the evaluation of the alternative control technique shows comparable effectiveness to the principal control technique, the owner or operator of the EGU may either continue to use the alternative control technique in a manner that is at least as effective as the principal control technique or it may resume use of the principal control techniques. If the evaluation of the control techniques shows more effective control of mercury emissions than the control technique, the owner or operator of the EGU must continue to use the alternative control technique in a manner that is more effective than the principal control technique, so long as it continues to be subject to this Section 225.615.
242 243	j)	In add	dition to complying with the applicable recordkeeping and monitoring
243	J <i>)</i>		rements in Sections 225.240 through 225.290, the owner or operator of an
245		-	that elects to comply with Section 225.230(a) by means of this Subpart F
246			also comply with the following additional requirements:
247			
248		1)	For the first 36 months that injection of sorbent is required, it must
249			maintain records of the usage of sorbent, the exhaust gas flow rate from
250			the EGU, and the sorbent feed rate, in pounds per million actual cubic feet
251			of exhaust gas at the injection point, on a weekly average;
252		•	
253		2)	After the first 36 months that injection of sorbent is required, it must
254 255			monitor activated sorbent feed rate to the EGU, flue gas temperature at the
255 256			point sorbent injection, and exhaust gas flow rate from the EGU, automatically recording this data and the sorbent carbon feed rate, in
250			pounds per million actual cubic feet of exhaust gas at the injection point,
258			on an hourly average; and
259			on an noarry average, and
260		3)	If a blend of bituminous and subbituminous coal is fired in the EGU, it
261		,	must keep records of the amount of each type of coal burned and the
262			required injection rate for injection of activated carbon, on a weekly basis.
263			
264	k)		dition to complying with the applicable reporting requirements in Sections
265			40 through 225.290, the owner or operator of an EGU that elects to comply
266			Section 225.230(a) by means of this Subpart F must also submit quarterly
267		-	ts for the recordkeeping and monitoring conducted pursuant to subsection (j)
268		of thi	s Section.
269 270	Section 225.6	30	Emissions Standards for NO_x and SO_2
270	Section 225.0	520	Emissions Standards for NO_x and SO_2
272	a)	Emis	sions Standards for NO _x and Reporting Requirements.
272	<i>u)</i>	*******	Δu_{λ} and Δu_{λ} and Δu_{λ} and Δu_{λ} and Δu_{λ}
274		1)	Beginning with calendar year 2012 and continuing in each calendar year
275		-	thereafter, the CPS group, which includes all Specified EGUs that have

276			not been permanently s	shut-down by December 31 before the applicable	:
277			calendar year, must cor	nply with a CPS group average annual NO _x	
278			•	ore than 0.11 lbs/mmBtu.	
			cillissions rate of no mo	ore man 0.11 105/initiotu.	
279					
280		2)	Beginning with ozone	season control period 2012 and continuing in eac	ch
281			ozone season control p	eriod (May 1 through September 30) thereafter, t	the
282			-	udes all Specified EGUs that have not been	
				-	
283				h by December 31 before the applicable ozone	
284			season, must comply w	vith a CPS group average ozone season NO _x	
285			emissions rate of no mo	ore than 0.11 lbs/mmBtu.	
286					
287		3)	The owner or operator	of the Specified EGUs in the CPS group must fil	ما
		5)			
288			-	after startup of any selective SNCR on such EGU	
289				describing the NO _x emissions reductions that th	e
290			SNCR has been able to	achieve.	
291					
292	b)	Emico	sions Standards for SO	Beginning in calendar year 2013 and continuing	in
	0)		-		, 111
293			-	the CPS group must comply with the applicable	
294		CPS g	group average annual SO	² emissions rate listed below:	
295					
296		year		lbs/mmBtu	
297		year			
		0010			
298		2013		0.44	
299		2014		0.41	
300		2015		0.28	
301		2016		0.195	
302		2017		0.15	
303		2018		0.13	
304		2019		0.11	
305					
306	c)	Comr	liance with the NO and	SO ₂ emissions standards must be demonstrated	in
	0)	-			
307				310, 225.410, and 225.510. The owner or opera	
308				omplete the demonstration of compliance pursua	
309		to Sec	ction 225.635(c) before M	March 1 of the following year for annual standard	ls
310		and b	efore November 30 of the	e particular year for ozone season control period	s
311) standards, by which date a compliance report m	
		•		y standards, by which date a comphanee report in	lust
312		be su	omitted to the Agency.		
313					
314	d)	The C	CPS group average annua	1 SO_2 emission rate, annual NO _x emission rate ar	nd
315		ozone	e season NO _x emission ra	tes shall be determined as follows:	
316			n	n	
510					
317		ERavg	$= \sum (SO_{2i} \text{ or } NO_{xi} \text{ tons})$	$2 \sum (HI_i)$	
318			i=1	i=1	
319				~ *	
			11.71		
320			Where:		
321					

322				ER_{avg}	=	average annual or ozone season emission
323						rate in lbs/mmBbtu of all EGUs in the CPS
324						group.
325				HI_i	=	heat input for the annual or ozone control
326						period of each EGU, in mmBtu.
327				SO _{2i}		actual annual SO_2 tons of each EGU in the
328						CPS group.
329				NO _{xi}		actual annual or ozone season NO _x tons of
330						each EGU in the CPS group.
331				n	=	number of EGUs that are in the CPS group
332				i		each EGU in the CPS group.
333					_	
334 335	Section 225.6	525	Contro	l Technology	Require	ments for NO_x , SO_2 , and PM Emissions
336	a)	Contro	ol Techn	ology Require	ments for	for NO_x and SO_2 .
337		1)		.		3, the owner or operator must either
338		-)				stall and have operational FGD equipment on
339			Wauke	•		
340				8		
341		2)	On bef	ore December	31, 201	4, the owner or operator must either
342		-)				stall and have operational FGD equipment on
343			Wauke	-		
344						
345		3)	On bef	ore December	31, 201	35, the owner or operator must either
346		2)				stall and have operational FGD equipment on
347			Fisk 19	•		
348				•		
349		4)	If Crav	vford 7 will be	operate	ed after December 31, 2018, and not
350		.)			*	is date, the owner or operator must
351			P			
352			A)	On or before	Decemb	per 31, 2015, install and have operational
353)			capable of delivering essentially equivalent
354						rawford 7; and
355				Trox Todaotio		
356			B)	On or before	Decemb	per 31, 2018, install and have operational FGD
357			2)	equipment on		· · · · ·
358				- Juspine on		
359		5)	If Cray	vford 8 will be	operate	ed after December 31, 2017 and not
360		2)			-	is date, the owner or operator must:
361			porma	inonicity officiation	in og un	is dute, the owner of operator mast.
362			A)	On or before	Decemb	per 31, 2015, install and have operational
363			1 ()			capable of delivering essentially equivalent
364				-	*	tions on Crawford 8; and
365					10 10000	usine on oramora o, and
366			B)	On or before	Decemb	per 31, 2017, install and have operational FGD
367			~,	equipment on		
507				equipment on	Ciuwit	// 4 0.

368		
369		
370	b)	Other Control Technology Requirements for SO ₂ . Owners or operators of
371		Specified EGUs must either permanently shutdown or install FGD equipment on
372		each Specified EGU (except Joliet 5), on or before December 31, 2018, unless an
373		earlier date is specified in subsection (a) of this Section.
374		
375	c)	Control technology requirements for PM. The owner or operator of the two
376		Specified EGUs listed below that are equipped with a hot-side ESP must either
377		replace the hot-side ESPs with a cold-side ESP, install an appropriately designed
378		fabric filter, or permanently shut-down the EGU by the dates specified below.
379		Hot-side ESP means an ESP on a coal-fired boiler that is installed before the
380		boiler's air-preheater where the operating temperature is typically at least 550° F,
381		as distinguished from a cold-side ESP that is installed after the air pre-heater
382		where the operating temperature is typically no more than 350° F.
383		
384		1) Waukegan 7 on or before December 31, 2013; and
385		
386		2) Will County 3 on before December 31, 2015.
387		
388	d)	Beginning on December 31, 2008, and annually thereafter up to and including
389		December 31, 2015, the owner or operator of the Fisk power plant must submit in
390		writing to the Agency a report on any technology or equipment designed to affect
391		air quality that has been considered or explored for the Fisk power plant in the
392		preceding 12 months. This report will not obligate the owner or operator to install
393		any equipment described in the report.
394	``	
395	e)	Notwithstanding 35 Ill. Adm. Code 201.146(hhh), until an EGU has complied
396		with the applicable requirements of Sections 225.625(a), (b), and (c), the owner or
397		operator of the EGU must obtain a construction permit for any new or modified
398		air pollution control equipment that it proposes to construct for control of
399		emissions of mercury, NO_x , PM, or SO_2 .
400	Section 225.6	30 Permanent Shut-Downs
401 402	Section 223.0	
402	a)	The owner or operator of the following EGUs must permanently shut-down the
404	a)	EGU by the dates specified:
405		Loo by the dates speemed.
406		1) Waukegan 6 on or before December 31, 2007; and
407		
408		2) Will County 1 and Will County 2 on or before December 31, 2010.
409		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
410	b)	No later than 8 months before the date that a Specified EGU will be permanently
411	,	shut-down, the owner or operator must submit a report to the Agency that
412		includes a description of the actions that have already been taken to allow the
413		shut-down of the EGU and a description of the future actions that must be
		—

414 415 416		accomplished to complete the shut-down of the EGU, with the anticipated schedule for those actions and the anticipated date of permanent shut-down of the unit.
417 418 419 420 421	c)	No later than six months before a Specified EGU will be permanently shut-down, the owner or operator shall apply for revisions to the operating permits for the EGU to include provisions that terminate the authorization to operate the unit on that date.
422 423 424 425 426 427	d)	If after applying for or obtaining a construction permit to install required control equipment, the owner or operator decides to permanently shut-down a Specified EGU rather than install the required control technology, the owner or operator must immediately notify the Agency in writing and thereafter submit the information required by subsections (b) and (c) of this Section.
428 429 430 431 432	e)	Failure to permanently shut-down a Specified EGU by the required date shall be considered separate violations of the applicable emissions standards and control technology requirements of this Subpart F for NO_x , PM, SO_2 , and mercury.
433 434 435	Section 225.6	Requirements for CAIR SO ₂ , CAIR NO _x , and CAIR NO _x Ozone Season Allowances
436 437 438 439	a)	The following requirements apply to the owner, the operator and the designated representative with respect to CAIR SO ₂ , CAIR NO _x , and CAIR NO _x Ozone Season allowances:
440 441 442 443 444 445		 The owner, operator, and CAIR designated representative of Specified EGUs in a CPS group is permitted to sell, trade, or transfer SO₂ and NO_x emissions allowances of any vintage owned, allocated to, or earned by the Specified EGUs (the "CPS Allowances") to its affiliated Homer City, Pennsylvania generating station ("Homer City Station") for as long as the Homer City Station needs the CPS Allowances for compliance.
446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454		2) When and if the Homer City Station no longer requires all of the CPS Allowances, the owner, operator, or CAIR designated representative of Specified EGUs in CPS group may sell any and all remaining CPS Allowances, without restriction, to any person or entity located anywhere, except that the owner or operator may not directly sell, trade, or transfer CPS Allowances to a CAIR NO _x or CAIR SO ₂ unit located in Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Wisconsin, Michigan, Kentucky, Missouri, Iowa, Minnesota, or Texas.
455 456 457 458		3) In no event shall this subsection (a) require or be interpreted to require any restriction whatsoever on the sale, trade, or exchange of the CPS Allowances by persons or entities who have acquired the CPS Allowances

459	from the owner, operator, or CAIR designated representative of Sp	vecified
460	EGUs in a CPS group.	,eemea
461		
462	b) The owner, operator, and CAIR designated representative of EGUs in a C	PS
463	group comprised of is prohibited from purchasing or using CAIR SO ₂ , CA	
464	NO_x , and CAIR NO_x Ozone Season allowances for the purposes of meeting	
465	SO_2 and NO_x emissions standards set forth in Section 225.620.	-8
466	- ·	
467	c) Before March 1, 2010, and continuing each year thereafter, the CAIR desi	gnated
468	representative of the EGUs in a CPS group must submit a report to the Ag	
469	that demonstrates compliance with the requirements of this Section 225.63	
470	the previous calendar year and ozone season control period (May 1 throug	
471	September 30), and includes identification of any CAIR allowances that h	
472	been used for compliance with the CAIR trading programs as set forth in S	
473	C, D, and E, and any CAIR allowances that were sold, gifted, used, exchain	
474	traded. A final report must be submitted to the Agency by August 31 of e	each
475	year, providing either verification that the actions described in the initial r	
476	have taken place, or, if such actions have not taken place, an explanation of	
477	changes that have occurred and the reasons for such changes.	
478		
479	Section 225.640 Clean Air Act Requirements	
480		
481	The SO ₂ emissions rates set forth in this Subpart F shall be deemed to be best available re-	etrofit
482	technology ("BART") under the Visibility Protection provisions of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. 7	7491,
483	reasonably available control technology ("RACT") and reasonably available control measured	sures
484	("RACM") for achieving fine particulate matter ("PM2.5") requirements under NAAQS in	n effect
485	on the effective date of this Subpart F, as required by the CAA, 42 U.S.C. 7502. The Ag	
486	may use the SO ₂ and NO _x emissions reductions required under this Subpart F in developi	
487	attainment demonstrations and demonstrating reasonable further progress for PM2.5 and 8	hour
488	ozone standards, as required under the CAA. Furthermore, in developing rules, regulatio	ns, or
489	state implementation plans designed to comply with PM2.5 and 8 hour ozone NAAQS, the	9
490	Agency, taking into account all emission reduction efforts and other appropriate factors,	will use
491	best efforts to seek SO ₂ and NO _x emissions rates from other EGUs that are equal to or les	
492	the rates applicable to the CPS Group and will seek SO ₂ and NO _x reductions from other s	ources
493	before seeking additional emissions reductions from any EGU in the CPS Group.	
494		

495 496	225.Appendix	хA	Specified EGUs for Purposes of Subpart F (Midwest Generation's Coal- Fired Boilers as of July 1, 2006)			
497						
498	Plant	Permit		Boiler	Permit designation	Subpart F
499		Numbe	er		5	Designation
500						e
501	Crawford	03160	0AIN	7	Unit 7 Boiler BLR1	Crawford 7
502				8	Unit 8 Boiler BLR2	Crawford 8
503						
504	Fisk	03160	0AMI	19	Unit 19 Boiler BLR19	Fisk 19
505						
506	Joliet	19780	9AAO	71	Unit 7 Boiler BLR71	Joliet 7
507				72	Unit 7 Boiler BLR72	Joliet 7
508				81	Unit 8 Boiler BLR81	Joliet 8
509				82	Unit 8 Boiler BLR82	Joliet 8
510				5	Unit 6 Boiler BLR5	Joliet 6
511						
512	Powerton	17980	1AAA	51	Unit 5 Boiler BLR 51	Powerton 5
513				52	Unit 5 Boiler BLR 52	Powerton 5
514				61	Unit 6 Boiler BLR 61	Powerton 6
515				62	Unit 6 Boiler BLR 62	Powerton 6
516						
517	Waukegan	097190	0AAC	17	Unit 6 Boiler BLR17	Waukegan 6
518	-			7	Unit 7 Boiler BLR7	Waukegan 7
519				8	Unit 8 Boiler BLR8	Waukegan 8
520						e
521	Will County	19781	0AAK	1	Unit 1 Boiler BLR1	Will County 1
522	·			2	Unit 2 Boiler BLR2	Will County 2
523				3	Unit 3 Boiler BLR3	Will County 3
524				4	Unit 4 Boiler BLR4	Will County 4
525						2
526						

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, certify that on this 16th day of February, 2007, I have served electronically the attached Motion to Amend Proposed Rule to Correct Typographical Errors upon the following persons:

Dorothy Gunn, Clerk Illinois Pollution Control Board James R. Thompson Center Suite 11-500 100 West Randolph Chicago, Illinois 60601

and by first-class mail with postage thereon fully prepaid and affixed to the persons listed on the **ATTACHED SERVICE LIST.**

/s/ Karl A. Karg Karl A. Karg

Karg A. Karg LATHAM & WATKINS LLP Sears Tower, Suite 5800 233 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 Telephone: (312) 876-7691 Fax: (312) 993-9767 karl.karg@lw.com

SERVICE LIST (R06-26)

John Knittle Hearing Office Illinois Pollution Control Board James R. Thompson Center 100 W. Randolph Suite 11-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601

Matthew J. Dunn, Division Chief Office of the Illinois Attorney General Environmental Bureau 188 West Randolph, 20th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60601

David Rieser James T. Harrington Jeremy R. Hojnicki McGuire Woods LLP 77 West Wacker, Suite 4100 Chicago, Illinois 60601

Katherine D. Hodge N. LaDonna Drive HODGE DWYER ZEMAN 3150 Roland Avenue, P.O. Box 5776 Springfield, Illinois 62705-5776

Faith E. Bugel Environmental Law and Policy Center 35 East Wacker Drive, Suite 1300 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Rachel Doctors, Assistant Counsel John J. Kim, Managing Attorney Air Regulatory Unit Division of Legal Counsel Illinois Environmental Protection Agency 1021 North Grand Avenue, East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276

Virginia Yang, Deputy Legal Counsel Illinois Department of Natural Resources One Natural Resources Way Springfield, Illinois 62702-1271

Sheldon A. Zabel Kathleen C. Bassi Stephen J. Bonebrake SCHIFF HARDIN, LLP 6600 Sears Tower 233 South Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606

William A. Murray City of Springfield, Office of Public Utilities 800 East Monroe, 4th Floor, Municipal Building Springfield, Illinois 62757-0001

Keith I. Harley Chicago Legal Clinic, Inc. 205 West Monroe Street, 4th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60606

SERVICE LIST (R06-26)

S. David Farris Manager, Environmental, Health and Safety City Water Light & Power 201 East Lake Shore Drive Springfield, Illinois 62757

Bruce Nilles Sierra Club 122 West Washington Avenue, Suite 830 Madison, Wisconsin 53703

Bill S. Forcade Katherine M. Rahill Jenner & Block LLP One IBM Plaza Chicago, Illinois 60611 Sasha M. Reyes Steven K. Murawski Baker & McKenzie One Prudential Plaza, Suite 3500 130 East Randolph Drive Chicago, IL 60601

Daniel D. McDevitt General Counsel Midwest Generation, LLC 440 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3500 Chicago, Illinois 60605

James H. Russell Winston & Strawn LLP 35 W. Wacker Drive, 40th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60601